



and B) shows their IR spectra. These were free from strong absorption bands at 2000 cm^{-1} due to N—H stretching, showing that the nitrogen atoms had been completely quaternized. The absorption bands at 2900 cm^{-1} were ascribed in C—H stretching, while the bands at 1700 cm^{-1} were due to the C=O group. The octadecyl compound had a weak absorption pattern between 2400 and 2700 cm^{-1} . This probably arose from dimerization of the compound



The behenyl betaine, however, being a free base did not dimerize due to residual negative charges on both oxygen atoms.



The IR spectra were free from broad absorption bands, indicating absence of residual inorganic reagents and the purity of the compounds was confirmed by the elemental analysis shown in Table I and by the sharpness of the melting point of the octadecyl betaine.

Measurement of Force-Area Characteristics—A thermostated, semi-automatic horizontal film balance, whose design and operation has been described (10), was used for measuring the π -A curves of the betaines on triple-distilled water (surface tension 72.8 dyne cm^{-1} at 20° , conductivity 1.1 to $1.2 \times 10^{-6}\ \Omega^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-1}$, pH 5.6). The apparatus was equipped with a polonium PDC 1 ionizing air electrode system for simultaneously measuring surface potentials.

The pH of the substrate was controlled between 3 and 12 with AR grade HCl or NaOH and its temperature was maintained between 10 and 30° with an accuracy of $\pm 0.1^\circ$.

The films were spread from a 1-mg./ml. solution in redistilled AR grade chloroform to a predetermined area per molecule, allowed to equilibrate for 5 min. and then compressed at the rate of $3\ \text{\AA}^2/\text{molecule/minute}$. This ensured reproducible π -A curves.

The effect of changing the initial spreading area is illustrated in Fig. 2 which shows the π -A curves of the octadecyl compound at




Figure 2—The effect of initial spreading area on the π -A curves of octadecyl betaine hydrochloride. Key: a = $90\ \text{\AA}^2$, b = $80\ \text{\AA}^2$, c = $70\ \text{\AA}^2$, d = $100\ \text{\AA}^2/\text{molecule}$.

Table I—Analysis of Betaines

	Octadecyl Betaine HCl		Behenyl Betaine	
	Found, %	Theoretical, %	Found, %	Theoretical, %
Carbon	67.2	67.4	73.58	75.66
Hydrogen	11.4	11.7	13.00	13.18
Oxygen	8.7	8.2	8.24	7.75
Nitrogen	3.7	3.6	3.88	3.39
Chlorine	9.0	9.1	—	—
Mol. wt.	386	391	412	414
M.p.	182.5	—	Unobtainable due to frothing from 150° upwards.	

pH 5.6 and 20° . The curves became increasingly expanded as the material was initially spread at from 70 to $90\ \text{\AA}^2/\text{molecule}$, but at $100\ \text{\AA}^2/\text{molecule}$ there was slight condensation. This suggests that the betaine films become discontinuous above $90\ \text{\AA}^2/\text{molecule}$ (confirmed by measurement of the surface potential as shown in Fig. 3). At large areas per molecule, the betaine molecules may adopt a variety of orientations in the surface as a result of the weak repulsive forces between their head groups due to partial intramolecular neutralization of their charges.

RESULTS

Figure 4 (A and B) shows the effect of pH on the π -A curves of the two compounds at 20° . The films were substantially more expanded than those of the corresponding long chain fatty acids (11), the octadecyl compound being more expanded than the behenyl compound because of its shorter hydrocarbon chain length.

Both betaines appeared to be in the liquid expanded state over practically the whole range of surface pressure, though the behenyl film became highly viscous (as tested with talc particles) at areas less than $30\ \text{\AA}^2/\text{molecule}$.

The collapse pressures—about 40 dyne cm^{-1} for the octadecyl and about 50 dyne cm^{-1} for the behenyl compound—were practically independent of pH. Thus even on strongly alkaline substrates they remained insoluble [unlike the long-chain fatty acids (11)].

Figure 4 also shows plots of A_0 , the extrapolated area per molecule at zero pressure, versus pH. Both betaine films showed a minimum which was ascribed to the ionization of the monolayer with the formation of zwitterions. The different positions of the minima for the compounds was due to the presence of chloride




Figure 3—Surface potential distribution of octadecyl betaine HCl on triple-distilled water, pH 5.6, temperature 25° (in millivolts).




Figure 4(A)—The effect of pH upon the π - A curves of octadecyl betaine hydrochloride. Key: a = pH 5.6, b = pH 11.95, c = pH 11.00, d = pH 3.4, e = pH 3.0.




Figure 4(B)—The effect of pH upon the π - A curves of behenyl betaine. Key: a = pH 2.7, b = pH 3.45, c = pH 3.8, d = pH 4.5, e = pH 5.6, f = pH 11.4.

ions in the substrate affecting the ionization of the betaine hydrochloride.

Although it is sometimes possible to make an estimate of the extent of such ionization by assuming the validity of the Boltzman and Gouy equations (11, 12), this procedure would be unreliable with the betaines because of their high negative surface potentials (varying from -300 to -450 mv.). It leads to the conclusion that the pH in the surface film could be 5 or 6 units lower than that in the bulk of the substrate, which appears very unlikely.

Figure 5 shows the effects of temperature on the π - A curves of the two betaines at pH 5.6, and Fig. 6 gives their surface pressure/temperature relationships at an arbitrary area of $30 \text{ \AA}^2/\text{molecule}$.

DISCUSSION

From these results one can calculate the energy functions of spreading of the betaine films on the assumption that the free energy change for spreading dG_s is (5)

$$dG_s = -(\gamma_w - \gamma_m)dA_m = -\pi dA_m \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

where γ_w is the free surface energy of water in erg cm.^{-2} ; γ_m is the free surface energy of the monolayer; dA_m is the increase in area occupied by the monolayer cm.^2 ; π is the surface pressure in dyne cm.^{-1} .

The increase in entropy S_s which accompanies spreading of the film by 1 cm.^2 is given by the slopes of the lines in Fig. 6 and q_s , the heat absorbed as the film expands by 1 cm.^2 is obtained from

$$S_s = q_s/T \text{ erg cm.}^{-2} \text{ deg.}^{-1} \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

where T is temperature in $^\circ\text{K}$.




Figure 5—The effect of temperature on the π - A curves of octadecyl betaine hydrochloride and behenyl betaine. Key: a = 30° , b = 20° , c = 15° , d = 11.5° , for octadecyl betaine HCl; e = 28.5° , f = 20° , g = 16° , h = 13° , for behenyl betaine.

Table II—Energy Functions of Spreading on Triple-Distilled Water at pH 5.6 and Area of 30 Å²/molecule

Temp.		Entropy of Spreading S_s , erg deg. ⁻¹ cm. ⁻²	Heat Absorbed on Spreading q_s , erg cm. ⁻²	Surface Pressure, π erg cm. ⁻²	Enthalpy of Spreading h_s , erg cm. ⁻²
°C.	°K.				
Octadecyl Betaine					
13	286	0.367	104.9	11.5	93.5
16	289	0.367	106.1	13.0	93.1
20	293	0.367	107.5	15.6	91.9
28.5	301.5	0.367	110.6	17.8	92.8
		Mean 0.367 = 1.58 cal. deg. ⁻¹ cm. ⁻²			Mean 92.8 = 4.11 kcal. mole ⁻¹ cm. ⁻²
Behenyl Betaine					
11.4	284	0.590	167.8	8.5	159.3
15	288	0.590	169.9	11.5	158.4
20	293	0.590	172.9	13.3	159.6
25	298	0.590	175.8	16.8	159.0
30	303	0.590	178.8	19.3	159.5
		Mean 0.590 = 2.55 cal. deg. ⁻¹ cm. ⁻²			Mean 159.1 = 6.94 kcal. mole ⁻¹ cm. ⁻²

The total increase in heat content is

$$h_s = q_s - \pi \text{ erg cm.}^{-2} \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

Values of the energy functions of spreading are given in Table II.

It may be seen that the values of q_s increase with temperature but that S_s and h_s remain practically constant.

Since the two betaines differed only in the lengths of their hydrocarbon chains, the enthalpy of a single CH₂ group can be calculated from the difference in enthalpy of the two compounds. The enthalpy of a CH₂ group is:

$$\Delta h = \frac{6.94 - 4.11}{4} = 700 \text{ cal. mole}^{-1} \text{ cm.}^{-2} \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

and the entropy:

$$\Delta S = \frac{2.55 - 1.58}{4} = 0.24 \text{ cal. deg.}^{-1} \text{ cm.}^{-2} \quad (\text{Eq. 5})$$

The agreement between the figure for ΔS and the figure of 0.20 cal. deg.⁻¹ cm.⁻² obtained from results on the C₁₅ and C₁₈ carboxylic

acids (5, 6), spread under similar conditions, shows that the energy required to overcome the van der Waals' forces of attraction between the molecules in the monolayer is a function only of their hydrocarbon chain length and is practically independent of the nature of their head groups.

For a single CH₂ group, the free energy change ΔG is given by:

$$\Delta G = \Delta h - T\Delta S \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

substituting in the values of h and S

$$\Delta G = 602 \text{ cal./CH}_2 \text{ group}$$

i.e., a positive quantity. Thus spreading of a betaine is not a spontaneous process but takes place only if heat is absorbed from the substrate.

Two types of interaction might occur between the head groups of molecules in a betaine monolayer: (a) intermolecular attraction between $\overset{+}{N}$ and the COO⁻ groups of neighboring molecules, leading to a partial neutralization of their charge, or (b) internal (intramolecular) neutralization of the charge on each molecule by interaction between its $\overset{+}{N}$ and COO⁻ group.

Although exclusively the first type of interaction has been proposed to explain why the critical micelle concentrations of the short-chain, water-soluble betaines are lower than those of the corresponding anionic and cationic surfactants (3), if only this type of interaction occurred in a betaine monolayer, then the film would be more condensed and the spreading energy higher than that of the corresponding fatty acid film.

This does not accord with the present experimental results, which are better explained by assuming that the total charge on each betaine head group is reduced by intramolecular neutralization, reducing the electrostatic repulsion between neighboring head groups and permitting the films to remain coherent at areas per molecule substantially greater than their areas at closest packing.

This assumption of intramolecular neutralization would also satisfactorily account for the lower CMC of the soluble homologs which arises as a result of balance between electrostatic repulsive forces of the charged heads and van der Waals' attractive forces of hydrocarbon chains.

REFERENCES

- (1) C. D. Moore, *J. Soc. Cosmetic Chemists*, **11**, 13(1960).
- (2) C. D. Moore and R. B. Hardwick, *Mfg. Chemist*, **29**, 194 (1958).
- (3) A. H. Beckett and R. J. Woodward, *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.*, **15**, 422(1963).
- (4) A. P. Swain, D. F. Braum, and S. K. Naegel, *J. Org. Chem.*,




Figure 6—The effect of temperature on the surface pressure at 30 Å² per molecule. Key: A = octadecyl betaine HCl; B = behenyl betaine.

18, 1087(1953).

(5) W. D. Harkins, "Physical Chemistry of Surface Films," Reinhold, New York, N. Y., 1952, pp. 152, 180.

(6) N. Pilpel and R. P. Enever, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **64**, 231 (1968).

(7) W. K. Anslow and H. King, *Biochem. J.*, **22**, 1253(1928).

(8) C. D. Moore, private communication.

(9) R. E. Bowman and H. H. Stroud, *J. Chem. Soc.*, **1950**, 1343.

(10) R. P. Enever and N. Pilpel, *Res. Tech. Instrum.*, **2**, No. 1, 2 (1966); **2**, No. 11, 8(1967).

(11) J. A. Spink, *J. Colloid. Sci.*, **18**, 512(1963).

(12) E. Havinga and M. den Hertog Polak, *Rec. Trav. Chim.*, **71**, 64(1952).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND ADDRESSES

Received June 2, 1969 from *Department of Pharmacy, Chelsea College of Science, University of London, Manresa Road, London, S.W.3.*

Accepted for publication July 25, 1969.

Influence of Ultraviolet Irradiation on the Surface Activity of Phenothiazine Drugs

A. FELMEISTER and R. SCHAUBMAN

Abstract □ The effect of drugs on the permeability of cell membranes has been related to their surface activity. It was of interest, therefore, to determine the influence of UV irradiation on the surface activity of a series of potential photosensitizers. Solutions of five phenothiazine drugs ($1 \times 10^{-4} M$) were prepared in an acetate buffer. The solutions were exposed to UV irradiation and the surface pressure determined at fixed intervals by the Wilhelmy plate method. Chlorpromazine and prochlorperazine showed an increase in surface pressure during irradiation. Promazine showed no surface activity either before or after irradiation. Trifluorpromazine and trifluoperazine exhibited a decrease in surface pressure during irradiation. Both chlorpromazine and prochlorperazine have been clearly established as photosensitizers. The other drugs studied, except for a few isolated instances, have not been reported as photosensitizers. Thus, there appears to be a relationship between an increase in surface activity induced by irradiating a drug and the drug's *in vivo* photosensitizing properties.

Keyphrases □ Phenothiazines, surface activity—UV irradiation effect □ Surface pressure, phenothiazines—UV irradiation effect □ Photosensitization, phenothiazines—surface activity relationship

In previous studies it was established that UV irradiation modified the interaction of some phenothiazine drugs with a lecithin monomolecular film. The chlorine substituted derivatives (chlorpromazine and prochlorperazine) showed a marked increase in reactivity toward the film as the result of irradiation. In contrast, trifluoperazine exhibited a decrease and trifluorpromazine and promazine no change in reactivity following irradiation (1).

Of the group of drugs studied, only chlorpromazine and prochlorperazine have been reported to be significantly phototoxic. It was postulated, that the ability of UV-irradiated phenothiazine drugs to interact with a lecithin monolayer may be a measure of their *in vivo* membrane-penetrating and phototoxic properties (1).

It was of interest, therefore, to determine whether these irradiation-induced changes were related to changes in surface activity of the drugs themselves. This paper reports the effect of UV irradiation on the

surface pressure of solutions of a series of phenothiazine drugs and several known photo-oxidation products of one of these drugs (chlorpromazine).

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials—The following phenothiazine derivatives were used without further purification: chlorpromazine hydrochloride, chlorpromazine sulfoxide hydrochloride, prochlorperazine, and trifluoperazine dihydrochloride¹; promazine hydrochloride²; trifluorpromazine hydrochloride³; chlorpromazine *N*-oxide, and 8-hydroxychlorpromazine.⁴ The water used was prepared by distillation of deionized water from all glass equipment. All other chemicals were reagent grade.

Apparatus and General Methods—A 0.1 *M* sodium acetate-acetic acid buffer, adjusted to pH 5.9 was used as the solvent in all experiments. The solutions to be irradiated were placed in a trough irradiated either from above or below the surface. In the former case, an UV lamp was secured about 50 mm. above the surface of the solution. In the latter, an UV lamp was positioned under a quartz window set into the bottom of the trough. In both cases the lamps were fitted with filters which screened out radiation below 280 m μ . The temperature of the trough and solution was maintained at $25 \pm 0.1^\circ$ by use of a constant temperature water circulator. Surface pressure, π , (the difference between the surface tension of the buffer solution and that of the drug-buffer solution) was measured by the Wilhelmy plate method (2) using a thin, roughened platinum blade attached to a torsion balance.⁵

The drug solutions were irradiated for periods ranging from 15–25 min., during which time surface pressure was determined periodically. Surface pressure was also determined for varying periods after the irradiation was stopped.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the first phase of the study $1 \times 10^{-4} M$ solutions of the drugs were irradiated from above the surface for 25-min. periods. Surface pressure was determined at convenient intervals during the irradiation and for 25 min. after the irradiation was stopped. Under these conditions, both chlorpromazine and prochlorperazine exhibited a

¹ Smith Kline & French, Philadelphia, Pa.

² Wyeth Laboratories, Philadelphia, Pa.

³ Squibb Institute for Medical Research, New Brunswick, N. J.

⁴ National Institutes of Mental Health, Bethesda, Md.

⁵ Federal Pacific Electric Company, Newark, N. J.